

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO	
09/810,154	03/15/2001	Amir Leventer	5079P005	7919
759	90 09/15/2004	EXAMINER		
Tarek N. Fahm		HANEY, MATTHEW J		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
12400 Wilshire		2613		
Los Angeles, C.	A 90025-1026	DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/810,15	09/810,154 LEVENTER ET AL.		•			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Matthew	·	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and within the state by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this cornED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a)□	•	 ☑ This action is n	on-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except	for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are allowed.							
·	S)							
·								
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have bee uments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmei			_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)).40\	4) Interview Summa					
3) 🛛 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/810,154

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki (US 6,415,057). Suzuki teaches of receiving the group of basic media data units; performing the steps of: (B1) partially decoding at least a portion of the basic media data, (B2) determining whether to reduce the size of the basic media data unit of the received block in view of a predefined relationship between a predefined compression ratio and a residual ratio,(Column 6, Lines 28-31) (B3) reducing the size of a basic media data unit such that an optimal reduction to quality degradation of the basic media data block is achieved, (B4) encoding the basic media data unit (Note: Column 6, Lines 4-53).

Art Unit: 2613

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-21 are allowed.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The examiner was unable to find prior art that showed partially decoded data that's size was reduced by its dependence on a relationship between a predefined compression ratio and a residual ratio. The examiner considered the art in his own search as well as the art by applicant.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Applicant is advised that should claims 1-21 be found allowable, claims 23-43 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is 703-305-

Page 4

4915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7-4:30), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600